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Abstract. In this paper we examine the scalability and utility of semantically mapping (visualizing) journals in a large scale (5.7+ million) science, technology and medical article digital library. This work is part of a larger research effort to evaluate semantic journal and article mapping for search query results refinement and visual contextualization in a large scale digital library. In this work the Semantic Vectors software package is parallelized and evaluated to create semantic distances between 2365 journals, from the sum of their full-text. This is used to create a journal semantic map whose production does scale and whose results are comparable to other maps of the scientific literature.

1 Introduction

The goal of this work is to create semantic journal maps to support the user search experience in a large scale digital library of science, technology and medical (STM) journal articles. By projecting article search results onto a semantic map, we seek to visualize and contextualize the query results, and offer interactive tools for users to refine queries and discover relevant articles.

Before article query result mapping can occur, we need to find and validate a technique that can scale up to tens of millions of terms and yet generate understandable and useful semantic journal mappings.

Visual representations of the scientific literature — often called Maps of Science — have been studied extensively over the last decade [20,13,5]. These maps have been constructed for a number of reasons: for informing science policy [10,14]; comparing author groupings within a discipline [8]; examining within discipline journal influence [4]; comparing the innovation systems between and within countries [17,9]; visualizing and discovering research fronts [12] etc. The majority of these maps have been constructed using citation, author, or term analyses, with only a minority using content-based methods like latent semantic analysis (LSA) [8,4]. This is due to the scalability issues of LSA, whose solution depends on singular value decomposition which becomes untenable when tens of millions of terms and/or items are involved.
Semantic Vectors (SV) method produces similar results to LSA but uses a much less computationally expensive method based on random vectors. This paper evaluates the scalability and quality of journal semantic mappings generated by SV.

Table 1. Category Statistics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th># Journals per category</th>
<th>Category</th>
<th># Journals per category</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agricultural and Biological Sciences</td>
<td>358</td>
<td>Arts and Humanities</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biochemistry, Genetics, and Molecular Biology</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>Business, Management and Accounting</td>
<td>106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemical Engineering</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>Chemistry</td>
<td>226</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Civil Engineering</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>Computer Science</td>
<td>218</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decision Sciences</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Earth and Planetary Sciences</td>
<td>146</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economics, Econometrics and Finance</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>Energy and Power</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engineering and Technology</td>
<td>328</td>
<td>Environmental Science</td>
<td>138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immunology and Microbiology</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>Materials Science</td>
<td>160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mathematics</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>Medicine</td>
<td>671</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neuroscience</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>Pharmacology, Toxicology and Pharmaceutics</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physics and Astronomy</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>Psychology</td>
<td>126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Science</td>
<td>222</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2 Data and Methods

The data is derived from NRC-CISTI’s onsite collection of full-text STM scientific articles. This dataset is approximately 4100 journals and 8.4 million articles, and primarily covers the publishing years 1995 to 2009. The collection includes metadata and full-text for all articles. All journals are classified into one or more of 23 categories by library cataloguers (Table 1).

2.1 Data Preparation

Articles were restricted to those whose metadata indicated they had authors and abstracts, as a filter to reduce the number of notices, obituaries, etc. in the collection. As the text extraction software is language specific, non-English language journals were also filtered out. In addition, journals with less than 50 articles in total were excluded. The resulting collection amounted to 5,733,721 articles. Table 1 shows the journals per category for this collection. The average number of categories per journal for this collection was 1.53, comparable to the
1.6 reported in [10] in their journal citation analysis and mapping based on ISI Science Citation Index.

Using the LuSql\textsuperscript{5} Lucene tool, the full-text (only) of the articles from each journal was concatenated into a single (rather large) document. This journal document (one per journal) was then indexed using Lucene\textsuperscript{6} v2.4, using an aggressive stopword list and the Porter stemmer (the Snowball analyzer). Semantic Vectors\textsuperscript{7} v1.18 BuildIndex was applied to the Lucene index, configured to use 512 dimensions.

A parallelized version of the SV was used to query the resulting model, using each document in the index as a query, resulting in a distance matrix between all documents (journals). Using the R statistics software\textsuperscript{8} this distance matrix was scaled to two dimensions using classical multidimensional scaling (MDS) with the \texttt{cmdscale()} function. An interactive application\textsuperscript{9} was constructed to visualize and validate the two dimensional projection using the Processing\textsuperscript{10} language.

\begin{itemize}
  \item \url{http://sourceforge.net/projects/lusql/}
  \item \url{http://lucene.apache.org/}
  \item \url{http://code.google.com/p/semanticvectors}
  \item \url{http://www.r-project.org/}
  \item \url{http://cuvier.cisti.nrc.ca/~gnewton/torngat/applet/index.html}
\end{itemize}

\textbf{Fig. 1.} Two-dimensional Projection of Semantic Mapping of all 2365 journals. Each color represents a category.
3 Results and Discussion

All computation performed on a Dell PowerEdge 1955 Blade server, 2 x dual-core Xeon 5050 processors with 2x2MB cache, 3.0 Ghz 64bit, 32GB RAM, attached to a Dell EMC AX150 storage arrays via SilkWorm 200E Series 16-Port Capable 4Gb Fabric Switch. The operating system was Linux openSUSE 10.2 (64-bit X86-64), kernel 2.6.18.8-0.10-default #1 SMP, Java version 1.6.0.07 (build 1.6.0 07-b06) Java HotSpot 64-Bit Server VM (build 10.0-b23, mixed mode).

3.1 Scalability

The Lucene index produced by indexing the journal articles was 43GB in size, and took 13 hours and 51 minutes to produce. This index contained 21.6 million terms. Semantic Vectors indexing took 58 minutes to produce a document vector index of 885MB. The parallelized SV document querying took six minutes to complete the document-document distance comparisons.

3.2 Semantic Mapping

Figure 1 shows the 2-D MDS of the semantic distance space for all journals, colored by the categories defined in the collection metadata. Figures 2, 3 and 4 are groupings of the categories into the harder sciences and engineering, agricultural and biomedical, and inter-disciplinary and non-science, respectively. The sub-diagrams show each category within these clusters. If we use the geographic direction idiom, Figure 2 shows a north-east to south-east progression from Chemistry, Fig. 2(a) through Material Sciences, Fig. 2(b) to Physics and Astronomy, Fig. 2(c) to Engineering and Technology, Fig. 2(d) on to Mathematics, Fig. 2(e) then swinging west into Computer Science, Fig. 2(f) Chemical Engineering and Civil Engineering — somewhat under-represented in this study — are more central-north, Fig. 2(g) and central, Fig. 2(h) respectively.

The biomedical cluster forms most of the western portion of the map (Fig. 3) and seems to have greater cluster overlap than the “hard sciences”. North-central and bridging to Chemistry in the north-east is Biochemistry, Genetics and Molecular Biology, Fig. 3(a). Agriculture and Biological Sciences, Fig. 3(b). Pharmacology, Fig. 3(c) and Immunology and Microbiology, Fig. 3(d) form clusters in the north-west, with Neuroscience, Fig. 3(e) in the west. Medicine, Fig. 3(f) occupies much of the south-west and is a larger cluster, overlapping with all other biomedical categories as well as many of the other categories. Psychology, Fig. 3(g) occupies the south-west, with significant overlap with Medicine and Neuroscience.

The third cluster is a catch-all of inter-disciplinary science and non-STM journals. Environmental Science, Fig. 4(a) and Earth and Planetary Sciences, Fig. 4(b) are both central, surrounded by all other clusters, an indication of their complex relationships with other disciplines, both STM and non-STM. Energy and Power Fig. 4(c) has a similar positioning, although it is an even more vague category. Decision Science, Fig. 4(d) — another under-represented category —
Fig. 2. Harder sciences and engineering categories
Fig. 3. Agriculture and bio-medical categories
(a) Environmental Science
(b) Earth and Planetary Sciences
(c) Energy and Power
(d) Decision Science
(e) Economics
(f) Social Sciences
(g) Business, Management and Accounting
(h) Arts and Humanities

Fig. 4. Inter-disciplinary and non-science categories
is a rather spread-out cluster and overlaps with Economics, Computer Science and Mathematics. Economics, Fig. 4(e), is central south, and forms a bridge between Mathematics to the east, and Social Sciences and Business, Management and Accounting to the west. Social Science, Fig. 4(f), is central south, along with Business, Management and Accounting, Fig. 4(g), and for the most part, the also under-represented Arts and Humanities, Fig. 4(h).

This mapping is very similar to that reported by other efforts [3,13], and particularly to that described in [10], where the authors identify a medical pole and hard-science pole: “Chemistry plays a brokerage role between Physics and Material Sciences, on the one side, and core Biomedical Sciences such as Biophysics and Biochemistry, on the other.” ([10] p.355).

4 Conclusion

Semantic Vectors produced a robust semantic mapping that was comparable to other maps made with other techniques. In this case, however, no structured information such as keywords, authors or citations were needed to produce these results. SV was able to scale to the extremely large number of terms in this digital library.

4.1 Future Work

With the scalability and semantic usefulness issues resolved, the next step in this work is to project search results, i.e. the first 100–200 articles resulting from a query, onto the journal semantic space. The underlying journal space contextualizes the user’s query, and we envision the ability to zoom in local to the bounding polygon of the search results. This interface would allow a user to visualize semantically similar articles, as well as identifying important journals related to their query. Three-dimensional MDS scaling and visualization will be explored in the hope of better discrimination and less loss of structure over the two-dimensional MDS used here. We will be evaluating non-metric MDS to see if it generates better results than the classical MDS used in this work.

We are also interested in evaluating the finer-grained attributes of SV-generated semantic maps, with an interest in creating domain-specific maps for queries that fall within a particular domain.

5 Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank NRC-CISTI colleagues Greg Kresko for technical support and Andre Vellino and Jeff Demaine for their input on this project.

References

9. Leydesdorff, L., Yuan, S. National and international dimensions of the Triple Helix in Japan: University-industry-government versus international coauthorship relations. JASIST 60(4) 778–788 (2009)
10. Leydesdorff, L., Rafois, I. A Global Map of Science Based on the ISI Subject Categories. JASIST 60(2), 348–362 (2009)